Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,754	KUSUMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:

(1) *Kevin M. Bernatz*.

(2) *Jonathan Owens*.

Status of Application: *allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *4 June 2007*

Time: *AM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
14,17

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner indicated that claims 14 and 17 were substantial duplicates of claims 3 and 7, respectively, and proposed amendments to bring the case into condition for allowance (see Examiner's amendments). Applicants agreed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)